Page 1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON PENDLETON DIVISION OREGON FIREARMS FEDERATION, INC., et al.,) Civil No. Plaintiffs,) 2:22-cv-01815-IM) (Lead Case) v. TINA KOTEK, et al.,) Civil No.) 3:22-cv-01859-IM Defendants.) (Trailing Case)) Civil No. (Continued)) 3:22-cv-01862-IM) (Trailing Case)) Civil No.) 3:22-cv-01869-IM) (Trailing Case) * VIDEOCONFERENCE * DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION OF EXPERT DENNIS E. BARON Witness located in: Champaign, Illinois * All participants appeared via videoconference * March 30, 2023 DATE TAKEN: REPORTED BY: Tia B. Reidt, Washington RPR, CCR #2798 Oregon # 22-0001

1		Page 2
1	(continued)	
3	MARK FITZ, et al.,	
4	Plaintiffs,) v.)	
5	ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM, et al.,	
6	Defendants.)	
7 8	KATERINA B. EYRE, et al.,	
9	Plaintiffs,)	
10	v.)	
11	ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM, et al.,	
12	Defendants.)	
13	DANIEL AZZOPARDI, et al.,	
14	Plaintiffs,) v.	
15	ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM, et al.,	
16 17	Defendants.)	
17 18		
19		
20		
21		
22 23		
24		
25		

	Page 3
1	APPEARANCES
2	For the Eyre Plaintiffs:
3	NICHOLAS M. GALLAGHER CLEMENT & MURPHY, PLLC
4	706 Duke Street Alexandria, VA 22314
5	(202) 742-8900 Nicholas.gallagher@clementmurphy.com
6	Wicholds.gallagher@clemencmarphy.com
7	For the State of Oregon Defendants:
8	ERIN DAWSON
9	MARKOWITZ HERBOLD 1455 SW Broadway, Suite 1900
10	Portland, OR 97201 (503) 972-5076
11	ErinDawson@markowitzherbold.com
12	For Intervenor-Defendant Oregon Alliance for Gun Safety:
13	ZACHARY J. PEKELIS
14	PACIFIC LAW GROUP, LLP 1191 Second Avenue, Suite 2000
15	Seattle, WA 98101-3404 (206) 245-1700
16	Zach.pekelis@pacificalawgroup.com;
17	
18	* * * *
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Dennis E. Baron

				Page 4
1		EXAMINATION INDEX		
2	EXAMINATION	BY: PAGE		
3	Mr. Gallaghe	er 6, 10	01, 108,	110
4	Mr. Pekelis	97, 2	106, 109	
5		EXHIBIT INDEX		
6	EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION		PAGE
7	EXHIBIT 25	Expert Report of Professor Der Baron.	nnis	10
8	EXHIBIT 26	Curriculum Vitae of Dennis Bar	con.	9
10 11	EXHIBIT 29	National Park Service Manual f the Safe Use of Reproduction Flintlock Weapons in Historic Weapons Demonstrations.	or	28
12	EXHIBIT 32	New York Times article titled "News from Washington."		55
13 14	EXHIBIT 33	National Archives article "Declaration of Independence: A Transcription."		67
1516	EXHIBIT 34	Blog titled "The iPad: What is Gutenberg moment, Anyway?"	s a	84
17	EXHIBIT 35	C.M. Spencer magazine gun pate dated 3/6/1860.	ent	49
1819	EXHIBIT 36	B.T. Henry magazine firearm patent dated 10/16/1860.		50
20	EXHIBIT 37	N. King magazine firearm pater dated 5/22/1866.	nt	51
21	EXHIBIT 38	O.F. Winchester magazine fires dated 9/4/1866.	arm	54
23	EXHIBIT 39	Tweet by Dennis Baron dated 11/4/2013.		90
2425	EXHIBIT 40	Tweet by Dennis Baron dated 6/25/2015.		91

Dennis E. Baron

			1
			Page 5
1		EXHIBIT INDEX CONTINUED	
2	EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
3	EXHIBIT 41	Tweets dated 10/15/2020.	93
4 5	EXHIBIT 42	Project Muse: Look It Up in Your Funk & Wagnalls: How Courts Define the Words of the Law.	81
6 7 8	EXHIBIT 43	Brief For Professors of Linguistics And English Dennis E. Baron, Ph.D., Richard W. Bailey, Ph.D. and Jeffrey P. Kaplan, Ph.D. in Support of Petitioners.	16
9	EXHIBIT 44		18
11 12	EXHIBIT 47	The Washington Post article "Opinion Antonin Scalia was wrong about the meaning of 'bear arms.'"	95
13	EXHIBIT 49	Declaration of Roger Pauly.	61
14	EXHIBIT 62	Dennis Baron faculty profile.	88
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Dennis E. Baron

Page 11

THE COURT REPORTER: Exhibit 25 has been marked. BY MR. GALLAGHER:

Q. Professor, in your declaration in this case, paragraphs 2 and 3, you state "I have evaluated the historical use of the terms 'arms' and 'accoutrement' in order to determine whether ammunition or ammunition storage containers were considered arms in the time during and just after the Founding Era (1750-1820) through the Reconstruction Era, i.e., the period following the ratification of the Fourteenth Amendment (1868-1890). The purpose of this inquiry is to examine whether modern firearm magazines (including large-capacity magazines), which are ammunition containers, should be considered 'arms' within the original public meaning of the Second Amendment's text. Based on my research, they should not.

"The lexical evidence leads me to conclude that ammunition and ammunition storage containers were considered accoutrements and not arms during the Founding and Reconstruction Eras."

Are those the opinions you plan to testify about at trial?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Are there any other opinions you plan to testify to at trial?

Dennis E. Baron

	Page 23
1	A. I believe Zach reviewed it.
2	Q. Your declaration says that, quote, "cartridge
3	boxes, "unquote, are, quote, "analogs to modern firearms
4	magazines, "unquote. That's in paragraph 26.
5	What is a cartridge box?
6	A. A cartridge box, sometimes called a cartouche
7	box ("cartridge") and ("cartouche") seemed to be used
8	synonymously at the period. Sometimes ("cartridge case.")
9	They were ammunition holders.
10	Q. Could you expand a little bit more on what you
11	mean by "ammunition holders"?
12	A. They were containers in which, in most of the
13	contexts that I found in the data, soldiers kept their
14	bullets.
15	Q. And what do you understand bullets at the time to
16	consist of?
17	A. In many cases, I did not find that defined in the
18	data. Not being a weapons expert, I really can't comment on
19	the nature of those bullets.
20	Q. How did you arrive at your definition of
21	"cartridge box"?
22	A. I started with a dictionary.
23	Q. And did you refine it from there?
24	A. Looking at the Corpus data, I came across
25	references to what they tended to be made of. In some

	Page 25
1	Q. So the Supreme Court in Bruen said, quote, "All
2	analogical reasoning requires a determination of whether the
3	two things are relevantly similar, "unquote. ("And because,")
4	quote, "everything is similar in infinite ways to everything
5	else, one needs some metric enabling the analogizer to
6	(assess) which similarities are important and which are not.
7	For instance, a green truck and a green hat are relevantly
8	similar if one's metric is things that are green. They are
9	not relevantly similar (if) the applicable metric is things
0	you can wear," unquote.
1	Would you agree with this definition of
2	<pre>"analogical reasoning"?</pre>
3	A. I don't have any objections. I don't know how
4	exhaustive (it is, but it seems like a good place to start.)
5	Q. Is there anything you would like to add to it for
6	the purposes that we're discussing analogs in this case?
7	A. No.
8	Q. How did you select "cartridge box" as your analog
9	for "modern firearms magazines" as a process?
20	Let me rephrase.
21	In terms of your procedure, how did you select
22	<pre>("cartridge box" as your analog for ("firearms magazines"?)</pre>
23	A. You know, I'm not entirely sure.
24	Q. Did you consult
25	A. (It happened several months ago when I first made

	Page 26
1	the connection, and I don't remember exactly how it
2	(happened.)
3	Q. Did you consult with one of the other experts in
4	making this conclusion?
5	A. No.
6	Q. Did you consult any written sources in making this
7	conclusion?
8	A. I believe it came through some of the reading I
9	was doing about magazines.
<mark>10</mark>	Q. Did you talk with any firearms engineers or
<mark>11</mark>	firearms historians before deciding that "cartridge box" was
<mark>12</mark>	the analog you would use for "magazine"?
13	A. No.
<mark>14</mark>	Q. Why didn't you consult any of these sources?
<mark>15</mark>	A. (I didn't) know anybody to consult.
16	Q. Did you consider using any other part of an
17	18th-century firearm or equipment as your analog?
18	A. No. I believe I was fairly focused on looking for
19	something that had a similar function to a modern magazine.
20	Q. Would you agree there have been a lot of changes
21	in firearms technology since the 18th century?
22	A. From what I understand, yes.
23	Q. Given that, what made you certain there was a
24	proper analog at all?
25	A. Because of the functional similarity. Both hold

Dennis E. Baron

Page 27

ammunition.

- Q. Professor, what is your understanding of how modern firearms magazines work when used with a semi-automatic weapon?
- A. Only what I read in the Washington Post. I have no -- I have no hands-on knowledge. I haven't even looked at diagrams or --
- Q. So could you explain to the best of your ability how a modern firearms magazine works?
- A. Again, from an article in the Washington Post just the other day, which I think is the first time I actually read something about how modern firearms magazines work, they are apparently now made of hard plastic and contain bullets that are moved into the firing chamber using a spring.

But, again, this was a total layperson's understanding. I've got no linguistic expertise to add to that and certainly no firearms background to add to that.

It's like I'm giving you a book report of what I read the other day.

- Q. How were the bullets moved from the cartridge box into an 18th-century musket?
- A. From a couple descriptions that were in the data, the user removed the bullet from the cartridge box and placed it in the firearm. And different firearms had

	Page 28
1	different ways of doing that from what I understand from the
2	data.
3	Q. Were the 18th-century cartridge boxes attached to
4	the firearm?
5	A. I believe they were not.
6	Q. Are you aware of how many steps it took to reload
7	a standard musket from the period, say, the Brown Bess?
8	A. Not specifically, but I believe it was not a
9	simple process.
10	Q. Would, depending on count, a figure of like 15 or
11	26 different steps sound reasonable to you?
12	MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
13	THE WITNESS: (I) have no way of knowing how many
<mark>14</mark>	steps. A few steps is what I would say, but I have no way
<mark>15</mark>	of knowing that. You would have to ask a Civil War
<mark>16</mark>	reenactor or something like that.
17	BY MR. GALLAGHER:
18	Q. I'm glad you bring that up because that brings me
19	to Exhibit 29, which is the Manual for Safe Use of
20	Reproduction Flintlock Weapons in Historic Weapons
21	Demonstrations.
22	(Exhibit 29 marked for identification.)
23	THE COURT REPORTER: Exhibit 29 has been marked.
24	BY MR. GALLAGHER:
25	Q. Sir, can you see that either on your end or my

Dennis E. Baron

	Page 29
1	end?
2	A. Yeah, I can see it on your end now. I was looking
3	at it on my end.
4	Q. This is the list of required motions for firing an
5	18th-century flintlock. Would you agree this is quite a
6	number of steps?
7	A. Appears to be, yes.
8	Q. And would you say it's more than ten?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Well, hold on. I may keep sharing it just for the
11	time being.
12	Do you know how long the reloading process took
<mark>13</mark>	or, put another way, how many rounds per minute a
<mark>14</mark>	well-trained user could fire?
<mark>15</mark>	A. No idea.
<mark>16</mark>	Q. Do you know how many steps it takes for a
<mark>17</mark>	semi-automatic firearm to reload from a modern magazine?
18	A. (I do not.)
19	Q. These are the parts of a Brown Bess musket.
20	Do you see that?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Are you familiar with what a ramrod was?
23	A. Sort of.
24	Q. Did you consider using the ramrod instead of the
<mark>25</mark>	cartridge box as your analog for a magazine in light of the

Dennis E. Baron

	Page 30
1	spring that is within the magazine?
2	A. (I did not.)
3	Q. If you did consider (it, how would you say that it)
4	compares as an analog to the cartridge box?
5	A. I'm not prepared to answer. I don't know.
6	Q. I'll move to a related topic.
7	In Paragraph 10 of your of your declaration,
8	you wrote that "arms does not include flints," end quote.
9	And, quote, "Nor does arms refer to parts of the weapon, for
10	example, the trigger of a gun, the hilt of a sword," end
11	quote.
12	Is it still your opinion today that a trigger is
13	not an arm?
14	A. I guess so.
15	Q. Professor, could you please describe to the best
<mark>16</mark>	of your ability what parts of an 18th-century musket such as
<mark>17</mark>	this one is necessary for the firearm to actually fire as
<mark>18</mark>	designed?
<mark>19</mark>	A. I could not do that, no.
20	Q. Would you agree that the barrel is necessary for
21	the gun to fire as designed?
22	A. The barrel, did you say?
23	Q. Yes.
24	A. It's a part versus whole question, isn't it?
25	Q. I agree it's a part versus whole question. Let me

	Page 37
1	mechanism as you see here versus if it were kept loose, say,
2	in the soldier's pocket?
3	A. I really don't feel qualified to make those kinds
4	of
5	Q. Okay.
6	Let me let me ask a related question.
7	A. These are things you need to get firearms people
8	to testify. I'm just looking at a whole bunch of lists, and
9	(if) something appears on the list separately from the
<mark>10</mark>	firearm, that's what I understand it, it's something
<mark>11</mark>	separate. And how you put all those things together I
<mark>12</mark>	mean, I saw the lists, and reading that list would not give
<mark>13</mark>	me enough understanding of how to work the gun.
14	Q. I understand that. And I'm trying to ask a
15	linguistic question with
16	A. Okay.
17	(Speaking simultaneously. Unreportable
18	crosstalk.)
19	Q so maybe I should just rephrase it.
20	Take a firearm take an armory shop, I guess
21	might be the right term, in which there are many barrels but
22	they're not in guns.
23	A. Correct.
24	Q. Speaking of the barrel
25	A. Right. Okay.

Page 47 Would you agree there was significant changes in 1 Q. firearms technology after the Founding period? 2 So I understand. Again, not an expert. 3 Α. 4 Q. To the best of your ability and knowledge, can you briefly describe what those changes were. 5 I don't feel qualified to do that except in the **A**. 6 broadest of terms that I know revolving pistols get 7 introduced somewhere around the mid-19th century, and what 8 we would call machine guns or, I suppose, automatic get 9 introduced around that time, around the Civil War time as 10 well. 11 But, again, this comes from watching cowboy movies 12 as a kid and vague recollections of things I've read in 13 newspaper accounts today reflecting on the history of 14 firearms. I am no historian of these things. I only track 15 16 the words and the data. Just to drill down a little bit on the machine gun 17 Q. point (that) you just made. When you say "machine gun," do 18 you mean a fully automatic --19 I think so. I think so. Well, I don't know how **A**. 20 automatic they were, okay? 21 Do you know what an automatic firearm -- what the 22 **Q**. automatic firearm mechanism is? Or how would you define an 23 automatic firearm in the technical sense? 24 Just a layperson's understanding of it, from what 25 **A**.

	Page 48
1	(I) gather and I'm not giving an expert opinion here
2	(that the automatic weapon is one where you can fire multiple
3	bullets with one pull of the trigger whereas a
4	semi-automatic requires multiple trigger pulls.
5	Does that sound right?
6	Q. And can you distinguish a repeating rifle from
7	those two terms, so just function?
8	A. No.
9	Q. Do you know what a repeating rifle is?
10	A. (I have heard) the term. (I don't know what it is.)
11	(I'm sure it's one or the other. Or not. (It could be a
12	(third (thing.)
13	Q. And last question on this just to inform our
14	(linguistic)
15	A. You like to expose my ignorance to the Court, I
<mark>16</mark>	know.
17	Q. I swear we're going somewhere with all of this.
18	Can you discuss the any understanding you have
<mark>19</mark>	of the changes in ammunition from the Founding period to the
20	(time of the Civil) War?
21	A. (I believe and again, this is just nonexpert)
22	opinion they learned how to combine the gunpowder and the
23	bullet together.
24	MR. GALLAGHER: I am now going to display
25	Exhibit 35.

	Page 49
1	(Exhibit 35 marked for identification.)
2	THE COURT REPORTER: Exhibit 35 has been marked.
3	BY MR. GALLAGHER:
4	Q. Professor, can you describe what type of document
5	this appears to be?
6	A. It's a patent for the C.N. Springer [sic] magazine
7	gun dated 1860.
8	Q. Take a look at the inventor's name again.
9	A. C.N Spencer.
10	Q. Spencer, yes.
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. And do you see the patent number there? It's also
13	highlighted on the first page.
14	A. Yeah, 27,393.
15	Q. And can you read the description on the first
16	page with text in it that's highlighted beginning
17	"Figure 1."
18	A. "Figure 1 is a longitudinal sectional view of the
19	breech and parts of the stock, magazine, and barrel of a gun
20	with my improvement, exhibiting it in condition for firing."
21	Q. And can you see the magazine in the picture on the
22	first page?
23	A. I would not be able to identify which part is the
24	magazine. I assume it's where your cursor is, but
25	Q. Can you see any bullets in this illustration?

		Page 50
1	А.	I I don't see I'm looking. I don't see
2	anything	that looks like a bullet, but am I missing
3	something	g here?
4	Q.	Do those appear to be bullets beneath the letter
5	"K"?	
6	А.	I'm sorry.
7	Q.	Do those appear to be bullets beneath the part
8	that is	labeled with the letter "K"?
9	А.	Oh, yeah.
10	Q.	And the part that's labeled "I," which I now see
11	as well?	
12	Α.	I'm looking for "I."
13	Q.	Behind that first behind the last bullet, I
14	think.	
15	Α.	Oh, oh, okay. It looks like two bullets; is that
16	right?	
17	Q.	Yes.
18		Okay. I'm going to display a couple exhibits,
19	mand the	n we'll discuss them collectively.
20	А.	Okay.
21		MR. GALLAGHER: So this will be Exhibit 36.
22		(Exhibit 36 marked for identification.)
23		THE COURT REPORTER: Exhibit 36 has been marked.
24	BY MR. GA	ALLAGHER:
25	Q.	Professor, if you can see it on my screen or

Page 51 1 yours --Yeah, I see it on your screen. Okay. I see --2 Α. now I can see bullets, now that you showed me what they 3 looked like. 4 And can you describe for the record what this 5 exhibit is. 6 This is the patent office record for improvement 7 in magazine firearms number 30,446 by someone named B.T. 8 Henry, patented in 1860. So the same year as the last one. 9 And can you please read the sentence beginning 10 "Figure 6" on the bottom left of the first page with text on 11 it. 12 "Figure 6 gives a perspective view of the carrier, 13 Block C, showing the Chamber, 3, in which the ammunition is 14 received from the magazine and carried up to the breech of 15 the gun." 16 BY MR. GALLAGHER: 17 Thank you. Q. 18 MR. GALLAGHER: We'll now turn to Exhibit 37, 19 which I'm bringing up. 20 (Exhibit 37 marked for identification.) 21 THE COURT REPORTER: Exhibit 37 has been marked. 22 BY MR. GALLAGHER: 23 Is it easier for you, Professor, to see if I keep 24 these on single-page view instead of double? I'm working 25

		Page 52
1	with some	big screens here.
2	A.	No. No. No.
3	Q.	Okay.
4		Please could you describe what this exhibit
5	appears to	be.
6	Α.	Okay. It's another patent dated 1866, Patent
7	No. 55,012	2 in the name of N. King for a magazine firearm.
8	Q.	And do you see anywhere on the second page where
9	there was	
10	A.	My
11		(Speaking simultaneously. Unreportable
12	crosstalk	.)
13		(Reporter clarification.)
14	BY MR. GAI	LLAGHER:
15	Q.	an assignor.
16	Α.	Where there was what?
17	Q.	An assignor.
18		Can you read the second line from the very top of
19	the page,	the sub-headline.
20	Α.	Oh, oh, "Assignor to O.F. Winchester."
21		Oh, so this is the famous Winchester.
22	Q.	Yes, part of it. We'll get to the other part.
23	A.	Okay.
24	Q.	Can you read the highlighted section: "My
25	invention	relates"

	Page 53
1	A. "My" "My invention"
2	(Speaking simultaneously. Unreportable
3	crosstalk.)
4	(Reporter clarification.)
5	BY MR. GALLAGHER:
6	Q. That's my fault also.
7	Can you please read from "My invention," where
8	it's highlighted on the second page.
9	A. "My invention relates to an improvement in the
10	repeating firearms patented by Horace Smith and Daniel B.
11	Wesson, the 14th of February, 1854, improved by B.T. Henry,
12	patented October 16th, 1860, in which several metallic
13	cartridges are placed in a tube or magazine beneath the
14	barrel of the arm and carried therefrom to the barrel of the
15	movement of the trigger guard."
16	Do you want me
17	Q. No, that is that is fine.
18	Actually, yes. Could you continue reading "And
19	my"
20	A. "And my invention consists of an improvement in
21	the said arm whereby the cartridges may be placed in the
22	magazine with greater facility and without other objections
23	which exist to the tube as heretofore constructed."
24	Q. Great.
25	And one last patent.

	Page 54
1	MR. GALLAGHER: This is Exhibit 38.
2	(Exhibit 38 marked for identification.)
3	THE COURT REPORTER: Exhibit 38 has been marked.
4	BY MR. GALLAGHER:
5	Q. Please could you describe this exhibit, sir?
6	A. A patent by O.F. Winchester of New Haven,
7	Improvement in Magazine Firearms, patent number 57,808,
8	dated 1866, for a magazine firearm.
9	Q. And could you please read the highlighted section,
10	Figure 8.
11	A. "Figure 8 illustrates the manner of filling the
12	magazine with cartridges."
13	Q. So looking at these well, let me stop there
14	and
15	Sorry. Let me start with a different question.
16	You said earlier "the famous Winchester."
17	Do you could you expand by what you mean by the
18	famous Winchester?
19	A. Just from having watched cowboy movies as a kid,
20	the Winchester rifle. I knew nothing about it other than
21	the name.
22	Q. And do you now understand that the Winchester
23	rifle had a magazine?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. And that the magazine was inside the construction

Dennis E. Baron

		Page 55
1	of the ri	fle itself?
2	Α.	Yes.
3	Q.	It could not be removed without disassembling the
4	rifle?	
5	Α.	Apparently not.
6	Q.	Would you agree that the dates on well, would
7	you agree	that the same is true of the Henry and Spencer
8	rifles ba	sed on the patents that you just reviewed?
9	Α.	It seems to be the case, yes.
10	Q.	Do you know anything about the Henry or Spencer
11	rifles?	
12	A.	No.
13	Q.	And you would agree that the dates on all four of
14	these pate	ents were before the ratification of the Fourteenth
15	Amendment	; correct?
16	A.	Right. Which was what, 1868?
17	Q.	Yes.
18	A.	Yeah.
19		MR. GALLAGHER: I am going to display one more
20	exhibit.	Let me find it here. Exhibit 32.
21		This is from the third page of the New York Times
22	on Friday	, December 23rd, 1864, offered as Exhibit 32.
23		(Exhibit 32 marked for identification.)
24		THE COURT REPORTER: Exhibit 32 has been marked.
25	///	

Dennis E. Baron

	Page 56
1	BY MR. GALLAGHER:
2	Q. Let's get this down to a more manageable size.
3	A. Yeah.
4	Q. Does that work for you, Professor?
5	A. That's better.
6	Q. Could you read the highlighted portions of this
7	it's a paragraph, but I think it's also one sentence.
8	A. Yeah.
9	"An ordnance examining board. By special order of
10	the War Department, a board of the officers will convene at
11	the Springfield armory on the 4th of January, 1865, for the
12	purpose of examining, testing and recommending for adoption
13	a suitable breech loader for muskets and carbines and
14	repeater or magazine carbine."
15	Q. Would you agree that the phrase "repeater or
16	magazine carbine" indicates that repeater rifles or carbines
17	were considered synonymous with those that used magazines,
18	at least as is used in this sentence?
19	MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
20	THE WITNESS: They seem to be synonymous.
21	BY MR. GALLAGHER:
22	Q. So taking
23	A. Sorry. This is one that did not come up in my
<mark>24</mark>	newspaper searches, which is curious, because they did cover)
25	the Times

ſ	
	Page 57
1	Q. Did you run the phrase "magazine" through the New
2	York Times Times Machine archive?
3	A. No. I used newspapers.com, which also covers the
4	Times. It's a little easier to use.
5	Q. So stepping back from this specific document to
6	consider the four patents and the article as a whole, what
7	would this tell you about the use of the word "magazine" by
8	this period?
9	A. That what it would tell me is that people
10	involved in the manufacture of military firearms were
11	familiar with the term "magazine" in relation to what we
12	would loosely call the part that holds the bullets.
13	Q. Earlier, you said that when a term isn't explained
14	in a source, it often indicates familiarity with that term.
15	Is that correct?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. And in the New York Times article we just looked
18	at, is the phrase "magazine" explained?
19	A. Only in my view, only insofar as it's listed as
20	a synonym for "repeater."
21	Q. And does that indicate
22	A. Repeater
23	(Speaking simultaneously. Unreportable
24	crosstalk.)
25	THE WITNESS: Sorry.

Dennis E. Baron

	Page 58
1	(Reporter clarification.)
2	BY MR. GALLAGHER:
3	Q. No. Professor, if you had anything else
4	A. No. No. Ask your question.
5	Q. So it's only explained insofar as it indicates
6	that it's a synonym for "repeater." Does that indicate that
7	the term "repeater" and/or "magazine" would be understood by
8	the readership of the New York Times because there's not a,
9	as you said, an explanation given of those two terms beyond
10	one another?
11	A. It would suggest that, yeah.
12	Q. And the readership of the New York Times is the
13	general public, more or less?
14	A. More or less.
15	Q. Finally, whether or not they knew of the term
16	A. Can I can I modify that statement?
17	Q. Sure.
18	A. In what is the date of this article?
19	Q. 1864.
20	A. Okay.
21	So the Times starts publishing in, what, 1858?
22	Q. '52, I think, but that's
23	A. '52. '52. Okay. I knew I knew it was around
24	there.
25	The readership of the Times early on was I'm

	Page 59
1	not sure it would be fair to characterize it as a national
2	newspaper at the time. We would think of it that way today,
3	but I think certainly, readers of the Times would be
4	expected to understand.
5	Q. Can you think of why this ordnance examining board
6	might have been page 3 news in the New York Times in 1864?
7	A. I can't say that, no.
8	Q. Would examining the rest of this article and
9	I'm happy to give you a second indicate why? It's not a
10	trick question.
11	A. It might help.
12	Q. Okay.
13	Take a second and
14	A. (Speaking simultaneously.)
15	(Reporter asks parties to speak one at a time.)
16	BY MR. GALLAGHER:
17	Q feel free to look the article over.
18	A. News from Washington.
19	Q. Maybe I can put it another way.
20	Was there anything going on in 1864 that would
21	cause the general public to be interested in developments in
22	military technology more so than usual?
23	A. Well, it was the Civil War period.
24	Q. Putting aside the linguistic term "magazine" for a
25	second, I assume you would agree that the users of the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Dennis E. Baron

Page	62

- A. According to the patent that you showed me.
- Q. And they were called magazines. The term was called -- the term by which that component of the gun was referred to was "magazine" at the time, yes?
 - A. In the patent, yeah.
- Q. And in the newspaper coverage at least that we've seen.
 - A. In the one article in the Times, yeah.
- Q. Did you do any -- hold on. I'll stop sharing this.

Did you do any research to see if any magazines, once they came into common use, were referred to as accoutrement?

A. No.

- Q. And the items that you have seen that were referred to as accourrement were items that were outside of or separate from the gun itself; correct?
- A. Well, I suppose, although if you're -- you had suggested that things like the ramrods and the flints were internal.
- Q. I think we had -- based on your testimony, we had agreed there was a distinction between a part when it was outside the whole and a part as part of the whole.
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. But I guess for completeness' sake, even -- even

	Page 63
1	speaking of a barrel, did you see anything to suggest that,
2	like, a barrel would be referred as an accoutrement as
3	opposed to a gun part?
4	A. I can't say offhand, but
5	Q. Let me direct your attention to your declaration,
6	paragraph 33, subparagraph (1).
7	A. 33(1).
8	Okay.
9	Q. And I'll just ask you to take a minute to look
10	will you (1) through (r), which are the examples excuse
11	me. That should have been (k) through (r).
12	A. Okay.
13	Q. The examples that were proffered in the
14	Reconstruction period.
15	A. Okay.
16	Q. Is it accurate to say that your Reconstruction-Era
17	examples refer to the standard old-fashioned cartridge
18	boxes, boxes for carrying cartridges to be manually loaded
19	into rifles, rather than to magazines of the sort that were
20	internal to the gun as we have seen in the Winchester?
21	MR. PEKELIS: Tia, could you read back the
22	question for me?
23	(Reporter clarification.)
24	BY MR. GALLAGHER:
<mark>25</mark>	Q. (Is (it) (accurate) to say that your examples from the

	Page 64
1	Reconstruction Era given here in paragraph 33(k) through (r)
2	refer to standard old-fashioned cartridge boxes, which is to
3	say boxes for carrying cartridges to be loaded manually into
4	rifles, rather than to magazines of the sort that we saw
5	were internal to repeating rifles?
6	A. They seem to be, yes.
7	Q. And directing your attention specifically to
8	(33(m), that's a little different, isn't it?
9	A. Okay.
10	(Yeah.)
11	Q. Do you know what the Mitrailleuse was?
12	A. I know what it sounds like, which is more of a
13	machine gun or but they refer to it as a cannon as well.
14	So in terms of size or anything like that, I could not
15	comment. I'm just guessing from the context.
<mark>16</mark>	Q. The entire cartridge box, what they're referring
17	to as a cartridge box, appears to be put into this cannon or
18	artillery piece at once and fired and then removed; is
19	(that) (is that) correct?
20	A. (That's my understanding, yeah.)
21	Q. And that's a bit different from the other
22	cartridge boxes in paragraph (33(k), (1), and then (n)
23	through (r); right?
24	A. Right.
25	So what is the the implication is that whoever

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Dennis E. Baron

Page 65

reporter writing this story was using a term they were familiar with, "cartridge box." Why did they not pick "magazine"? Was it not in their sort of reporter's vocabulary? It's an interesting question.

- Q. Did you make any effort to disaggregate the use of old-fashioned cartridge boxes and either new magazines or feeding devices of any new sort in your study of the Reconstruction period sources?
 - A. Not as such, no.
- Q. So turning to a slightly broader topic, Professor, in your declaration, you say you studied the uses of the words "cartridge boxes" or "cartouche boxes" or other synonyms and the word "accoutrement."

Did you study for this declaration instances in which arms were mentioned but cartridge boxes or accoutrement were not mentioned? In other words, when "arms" was used as a term on its own absent the term "cartridge box" or "accoutrement"?

- A. Not specifically, no, because there were too many examples to -- given the time frame.
 - Q. So -Please continue.
 - A. No. That's it.
- Q. If we look at paragraph 49 of your declaration, it begins "There are over 47,000 citations in newspapers.com

	Page 66
1	for 'arms' or 'accoutrement' in the period 1868 to 1900 and
2	15,799 citations for the exact phrase"
3	(Reporter requests to please read slowly when
4	reading.)
5	MR. GALLAGHER: Sorry. I'm a Philadelphian, and
6	I'm trying to be slow, but I'm maybe not good at it.
7	THE WITNESS: Which paragraph are you on?
8	MR. GALLAGHER: 49.
9	THE WITNESS: 49. Got it.
10	BY MR. GALLAGHER:
11	Q. Could you read the opening could you read the
12	opening sentence in paragraph 49.
13	A. Yeah. "There are over 47,000 citations in
14	newspapers.com for 'arms' or 'accoutrement'
15	in the period 1868-1900, and 15,799 citations for the exact
16	phrase 'arms and accoutrements.'"
17	Q. So I at the risk of doing math, I assume the
18	difference between the 47,000 figure for "arms" or
19	"accoutrements" and the about 16,000 figure for "arms and
20	accoutrement" means that there were several thousand
21	instances at a minimum of results for "arms" alone?
22	A. Right. That's a fair guess.
23	Q. Did you analyze the several thousand instances of
<mark>24</mark>	the use of "arms" on its own to see if they, in context,
<mark>25</mark>	covered ammunition and accoutrement also?

Page 67
(A.) No.)
Q. Why not?
A. Too many.
Q. Okay.
A. Short time turnaround.
Q. I would like to yeah.
MR. GALLAGHER: I would like to share what will be
Exhibit 33. It's a copy of the Declaration of Independence.
(Exhibit 33 marked for identification.)
THE COURT REPORTER: Exhibit 33 has been marked.
BY MR. GALLAGHER:
Q. Professor, if you can turn to page 3 of this
document. I'm not sure the Declaration itself had
pagination, but on this document, page 3 and read the
highlighted clause, please.
A. Yes. "He has"
You want me to read it?
Q. Yes, please.
A. "He has constrained our fellow citizens taken
captive on the high seas to bear arms against their
country," "he" being King George.
Q. Yes.
Is it your position that the text implies that
these impressed sailors had arms but not ammunition?
A. It is my interpretation of that sentence that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Dennis E. Baron

Page 68

"bear arms" is used in its idiomatic sense to mean "fight against" in general.

- Q. And since these are -- sorry. Please continue.
- A. However, that fight is to be construed, does not specify.
- Q. And since they're on the high seas, do you understand that we're talking about impressment?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And do you know what impressment is?
- A. Roughly, it is when -- when one power captures another power's ship on the high seas and forces the crew to fight against their country of origin.
 - Q. As sailors in the Navy?
- A. Yes. And so if you're talking about the actual arms, we're talking about, what, ships' cannons; right? So we're not talking about civilian firearms. We're talking --we're talking "bear arms" in its general sense of to serve in a military force.
- Q. Of course.
- A. Right? I mean, there's a difference between that and an actual firearm.
 - Q. Right.

But on a narrower question, as you understand it, a ship's cannon would use both the iron cannon itself and the ammunition, the powder and ball that go into the cannon,

	Page 69
1	yes?
2	MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
3	BY MR. GALLAGHER:
4	Q. I can rephrase that.
5	Professor, what is your understanding of what it
6	takes to fire a ship's cannon?
7	A. Oh, you need a cannon. You need a cannonball.
8	You need someone to create the explosion.
9	Q. Okay.
10	A. I don't think that "bear arms" refers specifically
11	to the type of weaponry in this instance. I don't think
12	that they were going for dramatic effect here. They were
13	not going for accuracy and detail. This is a rhetorical
14	phrase.
15	Q. Let's turn to a few more examples from a related
16	exhibit, Exhibit 44, which is I think we have already
17	entered it, but it's the Bruen amicus brief.
18	A. 44. Oh, okay. Yeah.
19	Q. And I'll share my screen again this time too. I
20	keep forgetting to actually do that because I think it's
21	just permanently shared.
22	Let's go to page 15 of this.
23	A. Mm-hm.
24	Do you want me to read the highlight?
25	Q. Let's start with the second highlight. The second

Page 70

highlight.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. "All male persons, from sixteen years of age to fifty, shall bear arms and duly attend all musters and military exercise of the respective troops and companies," dated 1760.
- Q. And in this context, do you understand that the male persons from 16 years of age to 50 were supposed to bring anything to the musters?
- A. The typical militia regulations called for bringing your own weapon.
- Q. Did they typically call for anything beyond the weapon itself?
- A. Sometimes they specified -- depends on the weapon, okay? There are different weapons for different classes or fighters in the militia, and "bear arms" is a general term here to cover everything from the officers to the infantry or the line soldier.
- Q. So when it comes to the infantry line soldier, what would you understand from this phrase that they were supposed to bring to the musters?
- A. It would depend on the particular requirements of that particular militia.
- Q. Do you have an opinion as to what generally would be required?
 - A. Typically, some kind of firearm, long gun.

Dennis E. Baron

Page 71

Pistols were for the officers. Possibly specifying how many bullets they were required to bring. How much gunpowder in terms of weight sometimes is mentioned in the regs.

Sometimes the other accoutrement, like flints and other implements, accessories to the firearm. But...

- Q. So in a state that specified that infantry soldiers were to bring ammunition and accourrement and, in the case of an infantry soldier, is it a fair reading to say that this phrase, "all male persons shall bear arms and duly attend all musters," would include bringing not only the musket itself, but also the ammunition and accourrement?
 - A. Probably.
- Q. So at times, "arms" used on its own could imply something more than the weapon itself, even if when specified "arms," "ammunition," and "accoutrement" can refer to distinct ideas; right?
- A. Sometimes "bear arms" is used generally to just indicate that you are being a soldier. I mean, one of the early definitions of "bear arms," let's say in the Webster's unabridged, was to serve as a soldier.
- Q. But this passage, this 1760 passage we have been discussing, it appears to be from a state militia law; right?
 - A. It would appear to be, yes.
 - Q. And is it your understanding as to this specific

```
Page 72
       passage that a state infantryman -- you know, assuming that
1
        the state specified a given amount of accoutrement and
 2
        weapon -- and bullets that he was supposed to bring, would
 3
4
        be required to show up with all of that based on the phrase
        "bear arms"?
5
                  MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.
6
                  THE WITNESS: Based on the fact they were in the
7
       militia, they would be required to do it. But I would have
8
        to see the full context.
9
       BY MR. GALLAGHER:
10
                  Let's bring up -- this is another sort of "may
11
       use" exhibit, United States versus Miller. So I'll share
12
13
       my...
                  Mm-hm.
             Α.
14
                  THE COURT REPORTER: I'm not marking this,
15
        Counsel?
16
                  MR. GALLAGHER: Let's mark this as Exhibit 46,
17
       please.
18
                  We don't need to read, I think, all of this,
19
        Professor.
20
                  THE COURT REPORTER: One moment.
21
                  I don't have Exhibit 46. Is this --
22
                  MR. PEKELIS: I don't either.
23
                  MR. GALLAGHER: Sorry. I was -- I thought I'd
24
        said clearly enough that this isn't one that I had
25
```

	Page 73
1	circulated. I didn't anticipate necessarily needing to use
2	it. It is I will circulate it afterwards, and it is
3	it's just the plain text of the United States versus Miller.
4	MR. PEKELIS: Nick, if you could send it at least
5	to counsel now, that would be helpful.
6	MR. GALLAGHER: Sure.
7	MR. PEKELIS: And we have been going about another
8	hour. So maybe would this be a good time to take a break
9	so you can circulate your remaining exhibits to us?
10	MR. GALLAGHER: Yeah, let me do that. I I
11	because of the problems that we ran into earlier well,
12	let's go off the record, and I'll just
13	THE COURT REPORTER: We are off the record.
14	(Pause in the proceedings.)
15	BY MR. GALLAGHER:
16	Q. I had just offered Exhibit 46, United States
17	versus Miller. And, Professor, I'd asked you to look at the
18	highlighted sections of the militia laws that are discussed
19	from star 180 through star 182.
20	Have you had a chance to do so?
21	A. I'm looking at it now. That's on page 3; right?
22	Q. Yes. Yeah. Page 3 of this PDF, yeah.
23	A. Oh.
24	I see the stars. I didn't know what the stars
25	were.

Page 74

- Q. It's a lawyer thing, and I couldn't remember the initial US report number. So, yeah, page 3 of the PDF.
 - A. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What --

- Q. So as to the laws here, at least as to infantrymen who carried muskets, you would agree that they were all required to show up not just with the musket, but with ammunition and accoutrement as well; right?
 - A. Right.
- Q. So returning to Exhibit -- where was it?

 Exhibit 44, the Bruen amicus brief, our discussion of the militia law in 1760. "All male persons shall bear arms and duly attend all musters."

If you were an ordinary militiaman who was a musketman and you were reading this law, would you understand it to require you to turn up with just your musket or also the ammunition and accoutrement that you were required to bring as well?

- A. The ammunition and accoutrement.
- Q. And so at least in some circumstances, "arms" can include ammunition and accourrement; right?
- A. It depends how you read "arms." If you read it in the context of "bear arms," which means, as I read it, serve as a soldier, then you are -- you are required to bring a certain number of things. It doesn't mean all of those

Page 75

things are arms.

Ο.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You said earlier that the term "bear arms" can vary technologically. In other words, in a society that fought with -- or maybe I misunderstood you, but you said that "bear arms" doesn't involve specific arms. It's a phrase, but it doesn't necessarily entail just on its own

Let me come at this a slightly different way.

- A. Not necessarily. That's right.
 - Q. So in a society that used swords and shields to fight, you know, if we said that Aneas and his companions were bearing arms, we would assume that they were not using firearms but maybe swords or shields; right?
 - A. Yeah.

specific arms; right?

(Reporter clarification.)

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ GALLAGHER: Aneas, A-N-E-A-S. Testing my classical spelling today.

By MR. GALLAGHER:

Q. But by the same token, in a society that the prevailing arms were firearms, we would assume that "bear arms" referred to all of the usual firearms and things to make them work; right?

THE COURT REPORTER: I saw your mouth move, Mr. Pekelis, but you're muted.

Was there an objection?

	Page 76
1	MR. PEKELIS: Thank you. Good catch.
2	Object to form.
3	I've been objecting throughout, all of these
4	questions, by the way.
5	THE COURT REPORTER: I have not heard
6	MR. PEKELIS: I'm kidding. I'm kidding.
7	THE COURT REPORTER: Okay.
8	MR. PEKELIS: That was the only one.
9	THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you.
10	BY MR. GALLAGHER:
11	Q. Well, let's take a look at
12	THE COURT REPORTER: I did not hear an answer if
13	there was one.
14	MR. GALLAGHER: Oh, yeah. Sorry.
15	THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I actually answered
16	that because say the question again. Say the question
17	again.
18	BY MR. GALLAGHER:
19	Q. So in the same way that if the society in question
20	used as arms swords and shields, we would assume that
21	"bearing arms" involved the use of swords and shields. If a
22	society used firearms, we would assume that the phrase "bear
23	arms" involved firearms and things that make them work;
24	right?
25	MR. PEKELIS: Object to form.

Dennis E. Baron

	Page 77
1	THE WITNESS: I suppose. But
2	BY MR. GALLAGHER:
3	Q. So let's take, for example, this non-highlighted
4	fourth-from-the-top on page 15 of Exhibit 44: "That numbers
5	of the inhabitants murmur at being obliged to bear arms; and
6	the dread of a French War is very general."
7	And this is from 1777.
8	Do you see that?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. And in 1777, they generally used firearms to wage
11	wars; correct?
12	A. If you say so.
13	Q. Is there any reason to assume that the inhabitants
14	obliged to bear arms would only use muskets but not
15	ammunition in this passage?
16	A. My reading of the passage, and I assume that
17	"murmur" means object in some fashion, is not that the
18	numbers of inhabitants, as mentioned, object to the carrying
19	of weapons. They object to being drafted into the military.
20	Q. Right.
21	A. So I think that it's being used generally to say,
22	you know, I don't want to fight. Not my fight. I would
23	rather be tending to my crops or my shop or whatever. Being
24	obliged to bear arms means that they're being drafted,

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC 206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989

basically. They're being -- they're being, as you used the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Dennis E. Baron

Page 78

term before, impressed into service, that they would rather not do that. So that when you have the conscientious objector clause that was in early drafts of the Second Amendment --

- But if they were -- sorry. I thought you were done.
- -- where those -- I believe the phrase was something like scrupulous of bearing arms or to be excused from service in the militia, I mean, if you're talking about the phrase that was put in to the early drafts of the Second Amendment to excuse Quakers who were pacifists from serving in the military. It's not that Quakers didn't use guns to shoot game, it was that they didn't want to use arms to -they didn't want to engage in any kind of warlike activity. So I don't think "bear arms" here is referring specifically to the equipment that the French peasants are supposed to bring to their military service, but rather, their objection in general to having to serve at all.
 - Ο. Okay.

But in the next -- in the next example, then, the one we have already discussed, it does actually somewhat refer to the weapons they were supposed to bring to their musters?

Α. That one is -- you know, covers both of those meanings. One was bear arms as being in the militia

> BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC 206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989

Exhibit 5 - Lindsay Decl. (Baron Depo.)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Dennis E. Baron

Page 79

to begin with. And then if you want to possibly drill down to talk about what arms, we're getting away from bear arms, which I still think is the idiomatic phrase rather referring to -- rather than -- sorry. My earphones are whistling -- rather than referring to the specific weapon, which are later in other parts of the regulation spelled out.

Q. Okay.

So let me give you a hypothetical, then, to maybe disaggregate those two meanings that are born in the second example.

- A. Yeah.
- Q. Supposing that, you know, a sergeant of militia in 1777 says to his men, you know, "Grab your arms and report to the parade ground," do you think it's fair to assume that he means ammunition as well as musket, assuming it's an infantry company that uses muskets?
- A. Right. And assuming that the drill includes live ammo.
 - Q. Okay.

So to clarify -- even a better hypothetical, suppose the drill sergeant in 1777 says to his infantrymen who use muskets "Grab your arms. The enemy is attacking."

I would assume that it would be correct to interpret "arms" as including ammunition in that phrase?

A. Probably, yeah.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Dennis E. Baron

Page 99
d
analog for
re more
nes?
thing
er strap.

ramrod
than a
that it

distinction between detachable magazines and fixed magazines. Would you agree?

- A. Yeah. Yeah.
- Q. And in looking at cartridge boxes as an analog for modern magazines, would you say cartridge boxes are more analogous to detachable magazines or fixed magazines?
- A. They would be detachable. They're something soldiers wore on their belts, perhaps on a shoulder strap. They were not part of the weapon itself.
 - Q. Understood.

Mr. Gallagher asked you about whether a ramrod would be more analogous to a modern-day magazine than a cartridge box. And I believe your testimony was that it would not be; is that right?

- A. In my opinion, it would not be because it doesn't hold bullets.
 - Q. What does a ramrod do?
- A. It -- you use it to push the bullet down the barrel.

I presume, although, again, not a firearms expert, that once breech-loading firearms were developed and became more common, the ramrod was no longer necessary. This may or may not be the case, but the ramrod didn't seem to be analogous to a magazine.

Q. Based on your -- I realize you didn't -- well, let

	Page 100
1	me ask it.
2	Did you look at the corpora for the word ramrod in
3	its use with the word arms?
4	(A.) No.)
5	Q. Okay.
6	But based on your research in looking at the word
7	"arms" and the word "accoutrement," would a ramrod be
8	considered in the 18th-century and 19th-century lexicon,
9	would a ramrod be considered an arm or an accoutrement?
10	A. I believe it would be considered an accoutrement
11	or an accessory.
12	Q. Mr. Gallagher showed you several patents from the
<mark>13</mark>	(1860s of very similar types of firearms that used the word
14	"magazine" in a way that appeared to refer to an internal
<mark>15</mark>	holder of ammunition.
<mark>16</mark>	Do you recall that?
<mark>17</mark>	A. Yes.
18	Q. Are patents parts of the corpora?
19	A. No.
20	Q. Why not?
21	A. I would have to guess because I'm not involved in
22	compiling the corpora. It may be patents may be on their
23	list to ultimately include them, but I did not come across
24	in my search any patent references. I don't know how well
<mark>25</mark>	they are digitized.

	Page 103
1	A. The
2	(Speaking simultaneously. Unreportable
3	crosstalk.)
4	(Reporter clarification.)
5	BY MR. GALLAGHER:
6	Q. In other words, what is the difference between a
7	firearms magazine and a box of bullets lying on the ground?
8	A. It sounds like an intro to a joke.
9	Q. Given that they are relevantly similar in that
10	both hold bullets, what is the difference between a magazine
11	and a box of
12	A. Okay. Okay.
13	(Speaking simultaneously. Unreportable
14	crosstalk.)
15	(Reporter clarification.)
16	BY MR. GALLAGHER:
17	Q. I was saying: Given that they are relevantly
<mark>18</mark>	similar and that both hold bullets, what is the difference
<mark>19</mark>	between a modern magazine and a box that contains bullets?
20	A. The magazine, once it contains bullets, combines
21	the function of holding the bullets and feeding the bullets
22	into the firearm.
23	Q. Mr. Pekelis
24	A. (Speaking simultaneously.)
25	Q. Sorry. Please finish. I thought you were done.

	Page 108
1	ammunition, if such a device existed, would it be consistent
2	with your research that it be called an arm or an
3	accoutrement or both?
4	A. I'm leaning towards accoutrement, but if you could
5	describe in more detail what kind of mechanism you're
6	talking about
7	Q. Well, it's hypothetical because as far as I know,
8	there wasn't such a device. But
9	A. Right.
10	Q if there were, would it be properly
11	characterized, based on your linguistic research, as an arm
12	or an accoutrement?
13	A. Okay.
14	I think I understand the question. I'm still
15	leaning towards accoutrement here.
16	MR. PEKELIS: Okay. I don't have anything else.
17	MR. GALLAGHER: I have two follow-ups on that.
18	
19	FURTHER EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. GALLAGHER:
21	Q. So did I understand you correctly to answer that
<mark>22</mark>	in general, your opinion is that there is no analogy to a
23	mechanical feeding device in common use in the Founding
24	<pre>period?</pre>
<mark>25</mark>	A. Yes.

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Kotek, et al. (Consolidated)

Dennis E. Baron

Page 112 CERTIFICATE 1 2 3 STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF PIERCE 4 5 I, Tia Reidt, a Certified Court Reporter in and 6 for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the 7 foregoing transcript of the deposition of DENNIS E. 8 BARON, having been duly sworn, on March 30, 2023, is 9 true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and 10 ability. Reading and signing was requested pursuant to 11 FRCP Rule 30(e). 12 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand 13 and seal this 4th day of April, 2023. 14 15 16 17 18 /S/ Tia B. Reidt 19 Tia B. Reidt, RPR, CCR # 22-0001 NOTARY PUBLIC, State of 20 Washington. My commission expires 21 5/15/2026. 22 23 24 25